

In conclusion, God bless our troops, and we will never forget September the 11th in the global war on terrorism.

HEALTH CARE IN PUERTO RICO: HISTORIC PROGRESS AND CONTINUING CHALLENGES

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Puerto Rico (Mr. PIERLUISI) for 5 minutes.

Mr. PIERLUISI. Madam Speaker, I rise this morning to discuss the issue of Federal support for health care in Puerto Rico and the other U.S. territories. This is a story of unprecedented progress, but it is also a chronicle of continuing challenges.

While the treatment of the territories under Federal health care programs has substantially improved in recent years, serious disparities still remain. The consequence of these inequalities is not difficult to discern.

Last month, a study found that patients at hospitals in the territories fared significantly worse than patients at hospitals in the States. The study cited funding disparities under Medicaid and Medicare along with the territories' lack of voting representation in the Federal Government as likely causes for these discrepancies. The study concluded that "eliminating the substantial quality gap in the U.S. territories should be a national priority."

Consider Medicaid, which helps our most vulnerable citizens. Medicaid has always operated differently in the territories. The Federal Government pays at least 50 percent of the program's cost in the wealthiest States and upwards of 80 percent in the poorest States. By contrast, Federal law imposes an annual cap on funding in the territories. Historically, Puerto Rico's cut was so low that the Federal Government paid less than 20 percent of Medicaid costs on the island in any given year. Inadequate Federal funding has made it difficult for Puerto Rico to provide quality health care to its low-income population.

If the purpose of this policy was to save the Federal Government money, it was shortsighted. Between 2005 and 2009, over 300,000 Puerto Rican residents moved to the States. Many were men and women of limited means who, upon migrating, immediately became eligible for full benefits under Medicaid and other Federal programs.

Last Congress, my fellow Delegates and I fought hard to ensure that our constituents were treated in an equitable manner in the Affordable Health Care Act. Under the law, funding for Puerto Rico's Medicaid program will triple over the next decade. Though it is far less than Puerto Rico would receive if treated like a State, this increased funding does represent a significant step towards parity.

But the Affordable Care Act did not eliminate serious disparities facing my constituents. For example, Puerto Rico is still subject to unequal treatment

under Medicare. Although island residents pay the same payroll taxes as their fellow citizens in the States, ill-conceived Federal formulas provide lower Medicaid reimbursements to Puerto Rico hospitals.

Despite the pressing need to correct all these disparities, I know that to legislate effectively you must choose your battles wisely, especially in a fiscal climate as challenging as the one our country faces today. Therefore, I have introduced three health bills that would correct unprincipled inequalities and do so in a fiscally responsible way.

The first bill amends the HITECH Act, which provides payments to doctors and hospitals that become users of electronic health records. The act inadvertently excluded Puerto Rico hospitals from the Medicare payments, and my budget-neutral bill would include them. My second bill, which has bipartisan support, would modify a unique Federal law that makes it more difficult for Puerto Rico seniors to enroll in Medicare part B and would reduce the penalties for late enrollment. And my third bill would make it possible for territory Medicaid programs to cover breast and cervical cancer treatments by placing Federal contributions for those services outside the annual cap.

So I have filed these three cost-conscious bills to address some of these disparities we are facing, and I hope to have the support of my colleagues when the time comes to consider them.

Now a word about the current state of affairs in Puerto Rico; after all, I represent Puerto Rico in this Congress. And if we're going to be talking about a crisis in Puerto Rico, I'll tell you about a crisis in Puerto Rico. It is the high incidence of violent crime that is tied to the drug trafficking that is happening in the Caribbean. And I, for one, am doing something productive. I am seeking additional resources because it is in the interest of both the United States as a country, as a whole, and Puerto Rico to increase the presence of Federal law enforcement officers in Puerto Rico.

While I want civil rights to be protected all over America, what I am doing is supporting the ongoing investigation of the Department of Justice. But I am not denigrating the integrity of those who put their lives at risk to defend the safety of our citizenry.

□ 1030

MOURNING THE LOSS OF STAFF SERGEANT MICHAEL GARCIA AND SERGEANT CHRISTOPHER SODERLUND

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Louisiana (Mr. FLEMING) for 5 minutes.

Mr. FLEMING. Madam Speaker, I rise today to mourn the loss of two Louisiana soldiers from Fort Polk who recently died in Logar province, Afghanistan, during Operation Enduring

Freedom. Staff Sergeant Michael Garcia of Bossier City and Sergeant Christopher Soderlund of Pineville, Louisiana, made the ultimate sacrifice by giving their lives in service to this Nation.

It is at this point that important decisions involving the defense of our Nation become most personal. Instead of thinking in abstract terms like casualties, weapons, equipment, we are confronted with the reality that these are not just soldiers; they are in fact our friends, our neighbors, our sons, fathers, brothers.

Staff Sergeant Garcia and Sergeant Soderlund represented the very best America has to offer. Their contribution serves as an enduring reminder to all Americans that the freedoms and liberties we hold so dear are afforded to us only by those who wear the uniform and the loved ones who support them.

Let us pause today to remember the sacrifice these brave soldiers made on behalf of this great Nation.

BULB ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. CONNOLLY) for 5 minutes.

Mr. CONNOLLY of Virginia. Madam Speaker, we are 2 short weeks away from defaulting on American debt, which would devastate our economy and plunge this country, if not the global economy, into a steep recession. We are engaged in three overseas wars as part of the broader struggle to defeat terrorism. Century-old autocracies are crumbling in the Middle East. Extreme drought is destroying farmers' livelihoods across the Southeast, Texas, and Oklahoma, while floods of biblical proportions inundate the upper Midwest. Unprecedented tornadoes have killed hundreds of people in Missouri, Alabama, and Virginia, while the melting of glaciers and polar ice continues to accelerate. Meanwhile, our economy stagnates for lack of any new congressional action to expedite growth.

In response to these existential threats at home and once-in-a-lifetime opportunities for democracy abroad, the Republican leadership has brought to the floor a bill to repeal a non-existent ban on incandescent light bulbs passed by a Republican Congress and signed by a Republican President, President Bush. That's right, light bulbs. Connoisseurs of Internet hearsay are aware that Tea Party conspiracy theorists think President Obama is trying to outlaw the incandescent light bulb even though President Bush signed that law into enactment. Cooler heads, such as representatives of every major light bulb manufacturer in America, from Philips to Johnson Controls, actually support the light bulb efficiency standards because they provide a competitive advantage for American manufacturers relative to their Chinese competitors, who produce shoddy, light-inefficient bulbs. Who